



## Complete Summary

---

### TITLE

Radiology: percentage of patients undergoing screening mammograms whose assessment category (e.g., Mammography Quality Standards Act [MQSA], Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System [BI-RADS®], or FDA approved equivalent categories) is entered into an internal database that will, at a minimum, allow analysis of abnormal interpretation (recall) rate.

### SOURCE(S)

American College of Radiology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, National Committee for Quality Assurance. Radiology physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association, National Committee for Quality Assurance; 2009 Feb. 42 p.

## Measure Domain

### PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Process

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the [Measure Validity](#) page.

### SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Does not apply to this measure

## Brief Abstract

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is used to assess the percentage of patients undergoing screening mammograms whose assessment category (e.g., Mammography Quality Standards Act [MQSA], Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System [BI-RADS®], or Food and Drug Administration [FDA] approved equivalent categories) is entered into an internal database that will, at a minimum, allow analysis of abnormal interpretation (recall) rate.

### RATIONALE

Recent studies have shown that while radiologists surpass recommendations for most mammography services, the recall rate for almost half of radiologists is higher than recommended. Collecting the data elements required to allow for internal calculation of recall rate is a first step in encouraging quality improvement activities.\*

\*The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:

Certain minimum raw data should be collected and utilized to calculate important derived data that allow each radiologist to assess his or her overall performance in mammography interpretation. (American College of Radiology [ACR])

The Basic Clinical Relevant Mammography Audit: The Core [Derived] Data to be Collected and Calculated [from Raw Data includes]: Abnormal interpretation (recall) rate for screening cases. (ACR)

Each facility shall establish and maintain a mammography medical outcomes audit program to follow up positive mammographic assessments and to correlate pathology results with the interpreting physician's findings. This program shall be designed to ensure reliability, clarity, and accuracy for the interpretation of mammograms. Analysis of these outcome data shall be made individually and collectively for all interpreting physicians at a facility at least annually. (ACR)

Accurate record keeping, patient tracking, and outcome analysis are important for effective, diagnostic mammographic imaging evaluations. (ACR)

## **PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT**

Screening mammography; assessment category

## **DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION**

All patients undergoing screening mammograms

## **NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION**

Patients whose assessment category (e.g., Mammography Quality Standards Act [MQSA], Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System [BI-RADS®], or Food and Drug Administration [FDA] approved equivalent categories) is entered into an internal database that will, at a minimum, allow analysis of abnormal interpretation (recall) rate (see the related "Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions" field in the Complete Summary)

## **Evidence Supporting the Measure**

### **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CRITERION OF QUALITY**

- A clinical practice guideline or other peer-reviewed synthesis of the clinical evidence

## **Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure**

### **NEED FOR THE MEASURE**

Use of this measure to improve performance

## **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE**

Rosenberg RD, Yankaskas BC, Abraham LA, Sickles EA, Lehman CD, Geller BM, Carney PA, Kerlikowske K, Buist DS, Weaver DL, Barlow WE, Ballard-Barbash R. Performance benchmarks for screening mammography. Radiology 2006 Oct;241(1):55-66. [PubMed](#)

## **State of Use of the Measure**

### **STATE OF USE**

Current routine use

### **CURRENT USE**

Internal quality improvement

## **Application of Measure in its Current Use**

### **CARE SETTING**

Ambulatory Care  
Ancillary Services  
Hospitals  
Physician Group Practices/Clinics

### **PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE**

Physicians

### **LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED**

Individual Clinicians

### **TARGET POPULATION AGE**

All patients, regardless of age

### **TARGET POPULATION GENDER**

Either male or female

### **STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS**

Unspecified

## Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

### **INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE**

Unspecified

### **ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS**

Unspecified

### **BURDEN OF ILLNESS**

Unspecified

### **UTILIZATION**

Unspecified

### **COSTS**

Unspecified

## Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

### **IOM CARE NEED**

Staying Healthy

### **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness

## Data Collection for the Measure

### **CASE FINDING**

Users of care only

### **DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING**

All patients undergoing screening mammograms

### **DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME**

Patients associated with provider

### **DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS**

**Inclusions**

All patients undergoing screening mammograms

**Exclusions**

None

**RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR**

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

**DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT**

Diagnostic Evaluation  
Encounter

**DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW**

Time window is a single point in time

**NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS****Inclusions**

Patients whose assessment category (e.g., Mammography Quality Standards Act [MQSA], Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System [BI-RADS®], or Food and Drug Administration [FDA] approved equivalent categories) is entered into an internal database that will, at a minimum, allow analysis of abnormal interpretation (recall) rate\*

\*Definition of abnormal interpretation (recall) rate: Any screening mammograms that receive an MQSA assessment category of incomplete, probably benign, suspicious, or highly suggestive of malignancy; BI-RADS® category 0, 3, 4, or 5; or FDA-approved equivalent assessment categories.

**Exclusions**

None

**MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS**

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

**NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW**

Encounter or point in time

**DATA SOURCE**

Administrative data  
Medical record

**LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY**

Individual Case

**PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED**

Unspecified

**Computation of the Measure****SCORING**

Rate

**INTERPRETATION OF SCORE**

Better quality is associated with a higher score

**ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS**

Unspecified

**STANDARD OF COMPARISON**

Internal time comparison

**Evaluation of Measure Properties****EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING**

Unspecified

**Identifying Information****ORIGINAL TITLE**

Measure #2: mammography assessment category data collection.

**MEASURE COLLECTION**

[The Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Measurement Sets](#)

**MEASURE SET NAME**

[Radiology Physician Performance Measurement Set](#)

**SUBMITTER**

American Medical Association on behalf of the American College of Radiology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, and National Committee for Quality Assurance

## **DEVELOPER**

American College of Radiology  
National Committee for Quality Assurance  
Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®

## **FUNDING SOURCE(S)**

Unspecified

## **COMPOSITION OF THE GROUP THAT DEVELOPED THE MEASURE**

William Golden, MD (*Co-Chair*); David Seidenwurm, MD (*Co-Chair*); Stephen Amis, MD; Michael Bettmann, MD; Joseph P. Drozda, Jr, MD; James H. Ellis, MD; Thomas C. Fenter, MD; George Fueredi, MD; Bruce R. Greenspahn, MD, FACC; Carol H. Lee, MD; Richard Leithiser, Jr, MD, MMM; Mark D. Morasch, MD; Robert Pyatt, Jr., MD; Robert Rosenberg, MD; John Schneider, MD, PhD; Gary Schultz, DC, DACBR; Carl L. Tommaso, MD, FSCAI

*American College of Radiology:* Judy Burleson, MHSA; Mark Gorden, MS; Diane Hayek; Pamela Wilcox

*American Medical Association:* Joseph Gave, MPH; Kendra Hanley, MS, CHE; Karen Kmetik, PhD; Samantha Tierney, MPH

*National Committee for Quality Assurance:* Aisha Pittman, MPH; Phil Renner, MBA

*Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Service:* Sue Nedza, MD, MBA, FACEP; Sylvia Publ, MBA, RHIA

*Consortium Consultants:* Rebecca Kresowik; Timothy Kresowik, MD

## **FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/OTHER POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

Conflicts, if any, are disclosed in accordance with the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® conflict of interest policy.

## **INCLUDED IN**

Ambulatory Care Quality Alliance

## **ADAPTATION**

Measure was not adapted from another source.

## **RELEASE DATE**

2007 Oct

## **REVISION DATE**

2009 Feb

## **MEASURE STATUS**

This is the current release of the measure.

This measure updates a previous version: American College of Radiology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, National Committee for Quality Assurance. Radiology physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association, National Committee for Quality Assurance; 2007 Oct. 42 p.

## **SOURCE(S)**

American College of Radiology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, National Committee for Quality Assurance. Radiology physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association, National Committee for Quality Assurance; 2009 Feb. 42 p.

## **MEASURE AVAILABILITY**

The individual measure, "Measure #2: Mammography Assessment Category Data Collection," is published in the "Radiology Physician Performance Measurement Set." This document and technical specifications are available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the American Medical Association (AMA)-convened Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Web site: [www.physicianconsortium.org](http://www.physicianconsortium.org).

For further information, please contact AMA staff by e-mail at [cqi@ama-assn.org](mailto:cqi@ama-assn.org).

## **NQMC STATUS**

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on February 1, 2008. The information was verified by the measure developer on April 10, 2008. This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI Institute on April 23, 2009. The information was verified by the measure developer on September 16, 2009.

## **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

© 2007 American Medical Association and National Committee for Quality Assurance. All Rights Reserved.

CPT® Copyright 2006 American Medical Association



[Copyright/Permission Requests](#)

Date Modified: 11/23/2009

